

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

In the Official Office Action of October 31, 2005, the Examiner rejected claims 1, 2, 4-22, 24-98, and 102-104 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as failing to set forth the subject matter which Applicants regard as their invention. Various claims were set forth along with the objection thereto.

In response, Applicants have amended claims 1 and 2 to comply with the Examiner's indicated suggestion.

With regard to claim 5, Markush language was suggested but Applicants note that the term "comprising" is suitable and have utilized the same.

Claim 14 has been amended in a manner as indicated by the Examiner with the word "can" being deleted and "range" being more appropriately changed to --ranges--.

Claim 21 has been amended to state a "nitrogen-containing bases" or "phosphorous-containing bases".

With respect to claim 28, all of the changes have been made in accordance with the Examiner's suggestions.

Claim 34, "organic-inorganic hybrids such as silicones" has been changed to --organosilicones--.


Claim 36 has been amended to change "inorganic based" to --organic-containing--. Moreover, a range for the low molecular weight oligomer has been set forth as a weight average of less than 1,000 with the high molecular weight polymer weight average being set forth as a weight average range of from 1,000 to 10,000,000.

Accordingly, it is deemed that all the indicated claims have been amended to substantially conform with the suggestions of the Examiner.

Inasmuch as no prior art references have been applied, a formal notice of allowance of claims 1, 2, 4-22, 24-98, and 102-104 is respectfully requested. Should any obstacles remain, a telephone call to the undersigned is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

HUDAK, SHUNK & FARINE CO. LPA



By: Daniel J. Hudak
Registration No. 25,879

2020 Front Street, Suite 307
Cuyahoga Falls, OH 44221-3257
(330) 535-2220

Attorney Docket No. 200EP006B (GOODR-A-CIP-RCE)